

## Indusface WAS Scanned Vulnerabilities

### Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by Indusface for informational purposes. Neither this document nor its contents may be reproduced, copied, or distributed in any form without prior written approval from Indusface.

### Notice of Ownership

This document is the exclusive property of Indusface. All rights reserved.

### Vulnerabilities Scanned

Below is the complete list of Indusface WAS scanned vulnerabilities:

| Sr. No. | Title                                                        | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Severity |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1       | HTTP DELETE Method Enabled                                   | HTTP 'DELETE' method allows a client to delete a file on the web server. An attacker can exploit it as a very simple and direct way to deface a web site or to mount a DoS attack.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Low      |
| 2       | HTTP Response Splitting                                      | HTTP response splitting is a form of web application attack where unsafe characters are inserted into user-controllable fields which are later inserted into the HTTP header being used for 302 redirects. As per RFC standard, HTTP request headers are separated by one carriage return and line feed, and response headers are separated by two carriage return (CR) and line feed (LF). The response splitting attack consists of making the server print a carriage return line feed sequence followed by content supplied by the attacker in the header section of its response, typically by including them in input fields sent to the application. Response splitting can be used to perform CRLF injection that allows the attacker to set fake cookies, steal CSRF tokens, disclose user information by injecting a script (XSS) and perform a variety of other attacks. It also allows attackers to deactivate & bypass security measures like XSS filters & Same Origin Policy (SOP). | High     |
| 3       | Microsoft IIS Internal IP Address Disclosure (CVE-2002-0422) | Certain WebDAV methods, when requested with a blank Host field, will return the internal IP of the target host machine. This IP can be used in subsequent attacks to further exploit the target system.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Low      |
| 4       | Source Code Disclosure                                       | Source code disclosure allows a malicious user to obtain the source code of a server-side application from a webpage. The attacker can obtain deeper knowledge of the Web application logic. Disclosure of source code and configuration files can be devastating for a web application. They usually contain database connection information like IP address, port number and valid credentials. In certain cases, application test users                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Medium   |
| 5       | Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)                                   | The Web application is vulnerable to cross-site scripting (XSS), which allows attackers to inject JavaScript or HTML code executed on the client-side browser.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | High     |

|    |                                                       |                                                                                                                                         |          |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 6  | Directory Listing                                     | A web directory was found to be browsable, which means that anyone can see the contents of the directory.                               | Medium   |
| 7  | SQL Injection                                         | Web applications that do not properly sanitize user input before passing it to a database system are vulnerable to SQL injection.       | Critical |
| 8  | TLS/SSL Server Certificate Expired                    | The server's HTTPS X.509 certificate is expired.                                                                                        | Critical |
| 9  | HTTP TRACE Method Enabled                             | The HTTP TRACE method allows an attacker to capture client cookies via a Cross-Site Scripting attack.                                   | Low      |
| 10 | Sensitive Form Data Submitted In Cleartext            | A web form contains sensitive fields submitted over an unencrypted connection.                                                          | Medium   |
| 11 | ASP.NET Debug Feature Enabled                         | The ASP.NET application is running in debug mode which leaks source code and detailed error messages.                                   | Medium   |
| 12 | HTTP PUT Method Enabled                               | The Web server may allow a remote attacker to upload arbitrary files using the HTTP 'PUT' method.                                       | Low      |
| 13 | Possible Physical Path Disclosure                     | The web page may disclose the physical path of the web root.                                                                            | Medium   |
| 14 | Missing Secure Flag From Cookie Header                | The Secure attribute tells the browser to only send the cookie if the request is being sent over a secure channel such as HTTPS.        | Low      |
| 15 | Sensitive HTML Form Fields With auto-complete Enabled | The Web form contains passwords or sensitive fields for which browser auto-complete is enabled.                                         | Low      |
| 16 | HTTP Basic Authentication Enabled                     | The HTTP Basic Authentication scheme passes user name and password over the network as cleartext.                                       | Medium   |
| 17 | OS Command Injection                                  | An OS command injection vulnerability occurs when invalidated user-controlled parameters are used to execute operating system commands. | Critical |
| 18 | Remote File Inclusion (RFI)                           | Malicious file execution vulnerabilities allow attackers to include hostile external content processed by the web server.               | Critical |
| 19 | ASP.NET Unencrypted '___VIEWSTATE' Parameter          | The application uses ASP.NET viewstate without encryption to maintain application state.                                                | Medium   |

|    |                                                     |                                                                                                                                |          |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 20 | XPath Injection                                     | XPath injection allows a malicious user to insert arbitrary XPath code to bypass authentication or access restricted XML data. | High     |
| 21 | Missing HttpOnly Flag From Cookie                   | Missing HttpOnly flag allows client-side scripts to access the cookie, enabling XSS-based cookie theft.                        | Low      |
| 22 | Unvalidated Redirects And Forwards/Open Redirection | An open redirect vulnerability allows attackers to redirect users to malicious sites via phishing emails.                      | Medium   |
| 23 | Invalid TLS/SSL Server Certificate                  | The server's TLS/SSL certificate signature is invalid, possibly indicating an active eavesdropping attempt.                    | Critical |
| 24 | Untrusted TLS/SSL Server Certificate                | The server's TLS/SSL certificate is signed by an untrusted CA, indicating a possible man-in-the-middle attack.                 | Critical |
| 25 | Application Error Message                           | Error messages may leak information about the application's logic or implementation.                                           | Medium   |
| 26 | Email Address Disclosure                            | Publicly disclosed email addresses can be harvested by spam crawlers.                                                          | Info     |
| 27 | Password Field Submitted Using GET Method           | The page contains a form submitting a password via GET, exposing it in the URL and browser history.                            | Critical |
| 28 | SQL Statement In HTML Comment                       | An SQL statement found in a webpage comment can assist attackers in exploiting database vulnerabilities.                       | Medium   |
| 29 | Internal IP Address Disclosure                      | Internal IP data may be used by an attacker to exploit the target hosting network.                                             | Low      |
| 30 | Possible Backup File(s) Detected                    | Backup files found on the web server may expose source code or configuration.                                                  | Medium   |
| 31 | Possible Sensitive Directories/Files Detected       | Sensitive directories or files may expose information that helps attackers mount advanced attacks.                             | Medium   |
| 32 | Local File Inclusion (LFI)                          | The script may include files whose names are determined by user-supplied data without proper validation.                       | High     |
| 33 | Permissive Cross-domain Policy File Detected        | Permissive crossdomain.xml policy files allow external scripts to interact with the website.                                   | Low      |

|    |                                                         |                                                                                                                 |        |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 34 | Readable .htaccess File Detected                        | The htaccess configuration file is readable, potentially exposing server configuration.                         | Medium |
| 35 | TLS/SSL Server Certificate Will Expire Soon             | SSL Certificate is about to expire, which will undermine trust and cause browser warnings.                      | High   |
| 36 | Web Server Info Disclosure                              | HTTP web server information disclosed in HTTP headers may help attackers find version-specific vulnerabilities. | Info   |
| 37 | Robots.txt File Detected                                | Robots.txt file displays information about site directory structure that may assist attackers.                  | Info   |
| 38 | ASP.NET ViewState MAC Disabled                          | Disabled MAC on ViewState allows attackers to tamper with the viewstate data.                                   | Low    |
| 39 | Programming Language And Version Information Disclosure | Framework and version information in HTTP headers may help attackers find version-specific vulnerabilities.     | Info   |
| 40 | HTML Injection                                          | HTML injection allows attackers to inject valid HTML code into an application, enabling further attacks.        | High   |
| 41 | Predictable Resource Location                           | Predictable resource paths can be brute-forced to discover hidden resources.                                    | Info   |
| 42 | Insecure Content Security Policy (CSP)/X-Frame-Options  | Missing or misconfigured CSP/X-Frame-Options headers leave the site vulnerable to Clickjacking.                 | Medium |
| 43 | Session ID In URL                                       | Storing session information in the URL exposes session tokens to theft via logs and referrer headers.           | Medium |
| 44 | HTTP Host Header Injection                              | Unvalidated Host header values can lead to Cache Poisoning, XSS, and other vulnerabilities.                     | Medium |
| 45 | Unencoded special characters                            | Unencoded special characters allow injection of unsafe characters that can trigger XSS or HTML injection.       | Low    |
| 46 | Cross-Origin Resource Sharing (CORS)                    | Permissive CORS policy can allow malicious cross-domain interactions with the application.                      | Low    |
| 47 | Missing Account Lockout Policy                          | Without account lockout, brute force attacks can be used to guess user credentials.                             | High   |

|    |                                                       |                                                                                                              |          |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 48 | HTTP Verb Tampering                                   | HTTP Verb Tampering allows an attacker to bypass authorization by manipulating HTTP methods.                 | Low      |
| 49 | Old SSL/TLS Version Detected                          | Old SSL/TLS versions (SSLv3, TLSv1, TLSv1.1) are vulnerable to BEAST, POODLE, and similar attacks.           | Medium   |
| 50 | Database Error Message                                | Database error messages may disclose sensitive information usable in further attacks.                        | Medium   |
| 51 | CVS Web Repository Disclosure                         | CVS Web Repository found may expose file listings, paths, and repository structure.                          | Medium   |
| 52 | Web Admin Homepage (webadmin.php) Script              | webadmin.php file manager has no authentication in its default configuration.                                | High     |
| 53 | AWS Metadata Server Side Request Forgery              | SSRF vulnerability allows an attacker to access AWS metadata including secret keys and tokens.               | High     |
| 54 | X-XSS-Protection Header Disabled                      | Disabled X-XSS-Protection header leaves sites at risk from browser-level XSS attacks.                        | Low      |
| 55 | Suspicious HTML Comments Detected                     | HTML comments may disclose sensitive information like credentials or file locations.                         | Low      |
| 56 | User Controllable HTML Attribute                      | Unvalidated user-controlled HTML attributes can cause XSS or HTML injection vulnerabilities.                 | Medium   |
| 57 | Form Action Hijacking                                 | Allows an attacker to hijack form action URLs, capturing form content including CSRF tokens.                 | Medium   |
| 58 | Insecure Flash Parameter 'AllowScriptAccess' Detected | AllowScriptAccess set to 'always' allows arbitrary JavaScript execution via Flash objects.                   | Medium   |
| 59 | Web Server Default Web Page Detected                  | Default web server pages disclose platform and version information.                                          | Medium   |
| 60 | HTTP OPTIONS Method Enabled                           | The OPTIONS method exposes a list of supported methods, potentially revealing sensitive server capabilities. | Low      |
| 61 | SSL Certificate Common Name Mismatch                  | SSL certificate common name mismatch may indicate misconfiguration or an active MITM attack.                 | Critical |

|    |                                                       |                                                                                                     |        |
|----|-------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 62 | SSL Certificate Signed Using Weak Signature Algorithm | Certificates signed with MD2, MD4, MD5, or SHA1 are vulnerable to collision attacks.                | Medium |
| 63 | SSL Certificate Using Weak Public Key                 | RSA keys less than 2048 bits are considered weak and increasingly vulnerable to brute force.        | High   |
| 64 | Apache Struts2 Development Mode Enabled               | Development mode provides additional debug information and may allow arbitrary Java code execution. | Medium |
| 65 | Apache server-status Enabled                          | Server-status exposes uptime, request statistics, client IPs, and CPU usage to attackers.           | Medium |
| 66 | ASP.NET Tracing Enabled                               | ASP.NET tracing exposes session IDs, execution paths, and other diagnostic information.             | Medium |
| 67 | ASP.NET Version Disclosure                            | ASP.NET version in HTTP headers allows attackers to target version-specific vulnerabilities.        | Info   |
| 68 | Browser Cache Enabled                                 | Cached web application data may expose URL histories, cookies, and transaction history.             | Low    |
| 69 | Hidden Form Input 'Price' Detected                    | Hidden form inputs can be manipulated by users to alter values like prices before form submission.  | Medium |
| 70 | Possible Web Form Spam Detected                       | Poorly written form scripts may allow the application to be used as a spam relay.                   | Medium |
| 71 | Documentation File Detected                           | Documentation files like readme.txt may expose application name, version, and user details.         | Low    |
| 72 | Possible Slow Response Time Detected                  | Slow server response times can be exploited in DoS attacks to overload servers.                     | Low    |
| 73 | Microsoft IIS Version Disclosure                      | IIS version in response headers allows attackers to target version-specific vulnerabilities.        | Info   |
| 74 | HTML Form Found In Redirect Page                      | An HTML form in a redirect page without response termination can bypass authentication.             | Low    |
| 75 | Server Side Request Forgery Local File Inclusion      | SSRF vulnerability allows an attacker to perform local file inclusion, accessing sensitive files.   | High   |

|    |                                                                           |                                                                                                       |          |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 76 | Unset/Insecure HSTS header                                                | Missing HSTS header allows MITM attacks and theft of private data.                                    | Medium   |
| 77 | Cookie Scoped To Parent Domain                                            | A cookie scoped to the parent domain is available to all subdomains, increasing leak risk.            | Low      |
| 78 | WordPress XML-RPC Interface Detected                                      | XML-RPC interface may be used in brute force or amplification attacks.                                | Medium   |
| 79 | Improper Token Handling                                                   | Improper token handling may allow authentication bypass and CSRF attacks.                             | Medium   |
| 80 | Apache Tomcat Remote Code Execution Vulnerability (CVE-2019-0232)         | CGI Servlet vulnerability on Windows allows unauthenticated remote code execution.                    | High     |
| 81 | Credit Card Number Disclosure                                             | Credit card numbers found in HTTP responses may allow financial data theft.                           | Medium   |
| 82 | Oracle WebLogic Server Deserialization RCE (CVE-2019-2725)                | Deserialization vulnerability in WebLogic allows unauthenticated remote command execution.            | Critical |
| 83 | Dot Net Insecure Deserialization Remote Command Execution                 | Insecure deserialization of untrusted data allows DoS, authentication bypass, and RCE.                | Critical |
| 84 | Perl Deserialization Remote Command Execution                             | Perl insecure deserialization allows authentication bypasses, DoS, and RCE.                           | High     |
| 85 | Passive Mixed Content Vulnerability                                       | HTTP content on HTTPS pages allows image replacement and page tampering.                              | Medium   |
| 86 | Active Mixed Content Vulnerability                                        | Mixed active content allows attackers to modify page behavior, steal credentials, or hijack sessions. | Medium   |
| 87 | PHP Deserialization RCE Vulnerability (CVE-2017-17672)                    | PHP unserialize() abuse allows arbitrary file deletion or code execution.                             | High     |
| 88 | Ruby on Rails XML/JSON Processor YAML Deserialization RCE (CVE-2013-0156) | RCE vulnerability in RoR XML processor allows arbitrary code execution.                               | High     |
| 89 | Oracle WebLogic Deserialization RCE Bypass (CVE-2019-2729)                | XMLDecoder deserialization flaw allows unauthenticated arbitrary code execution.                      | Critical |

|     |                                               |                                                                                                   |          |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 90  | Possible Archive/Compression File(s) Detected | Archive files on the web server may expose source code or sensitive application data.             | Low      |
| 91  | Cookie Overly Broad Path Detected             | Overly broad cookie path exposes cookies to other applications on the same domain.                | Low      |
| 92  | Session Cookie Manipulation                   | Misconfigured cookies can lead to XSS, SQL injection, or session fixation.                        | Medium   |
| 93  | Weak Session IDs                              | Weak or predictable session IDs enable session hijacking attacks.                                 | Medium   |
| 94  | HTTP TRACK Method Enabled                     | HTTP TRACK method allows attackers to capture client cookies via a Cross-Site Scripting attack.   | Low      |
| 95  | Log Injection                                 | Log injection allows attackers to insert malicious data into logs, corrupting records.            | Medium   |
| 96  | HTML Form Without Anti-CSRF Token Detected    | Forms without CSRF tokens are vulnerable to Cross-Site Request Forgery attacks.                   | Medium   |
| 97  | Web Administration Login Page Detected        | Publicly accessible admin login pages may be targeted for brute-force or injection attacks.       | Low      |
| 98  | Web Server Content Sniffing Enabled           | Content sniffing by browsers can be exploited to execute scripts as incorrect MIME types.         | Medium   |
| 99  | Apache Range Denial Of Service                | Range header abuse in Apache allows a remote attacker to cause DoS via memory and CPU exhaustion. | Medium   |
| 100 | vBulletin Pre-Auth RCE Vulnerability          | Pre-authentication RCE in vBulletin allows attackers to execute commands and compromise systems.  | Critical |
| 101 | Server Side Javascript Injection              | User-controlled data processed by the server as JavaScript enables malicious code execution.      | Critical |
| 102 | Server-Side Template Injection                | User-controllable data in server-side templates allows arbitrary code execution.                  | Critical |
| 103 | Remote XSL Inclusion                          | Attacker-controlled XSL files can be included and executed to compromise the system.              | High     |

|     |                                                         |                                                                                                   |          |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 104 | PHP Nginx Remote Command Execution                      | PHP sites on Nginx servers are vulnerable to remote command execution.                            | Critical |
| 105 | Default And Common Credentials Detected                 | Common or default credentials allow attackers to gain full control of the application.            | High     |
| 106 | SQL Injection Authentication Bypass                     | SQL injection during login allows unauthenticated attackers to gain admin privileges.             | High     |
| 107 | Login Username Enumeration                              | Inconsistent error messages during login reveal valid usernames to attackers.                     | Medium   |
| 108 | Core Dump File(s) Detected                              | Core dump files expose application memory including credentials and library data.                 | Medium   |
| 109 | JSF Client-Side ViewState Detected                      | Unencrypted client-side ViewState can be read and used in further attacks.                        | Medium   |
| 110 | WAF/IPS Detected                                        | The site is protected by WAF/IPS; comprehensive vulnerability scanning may be limited.            | Info     |
| 111 | Insecure Cache-Control Header Detected                  | Misconfigured Cache-Control headers may cause sensitive pages to be served from cache.            | Low      |
| 112 | Old Cipher Suites Detected                              | Old cipher suites can be decoded to reveal sensitive information and enable SWEET32-type attacks. | Medium   |
| 113 | Insecure/Deprecated Cryptography Detected               | Weak or deprecated hashing/crypto functions can be decrypted to obtain sensitive information.     | Medium   |
| 114 | Apache Axis2 Local File Inclusion                       | LFI in Apache Axis2 allows attackers to access arbitrary files via crafted requests.              | High     |
| 115 | JSMOL2 Server Side Request Forgery Local File Inclusion | SSRF/LFI in JSMOL2 allows access to sensitive files like database credentials.                    | High     |
| 116 | Long Password Denial Of Service                         | Improper handling of long passwords during hashing leads to memory/CPU exhaustion and DoS.        | High     |
| 117 | Uncontrolled Format String                              | Format string attacks allow attackers to read stack traces, access memory, or cause crashes.      | Medium   |

|     |                                               |                                                                                                    |        |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 118 | Google Chrome Logger Information Disclosure   | Chrome Logger HTTP header may expose sensitive server-side debug data.                             | Low    |
| 119 | Java Virtual Machine (JVM) Version Disclosure | JVM version in server headers enables version-specific attacks.                                    | Low    |
| 120 | Missing Subresource Integrity Check           | Missing SRI allows CDN compromise to inject malicious content into all dependent sites.            | Info   |
| 121 | HTTP Request Smuggling                        | Specially crafted HTTP messages can bypass security controls and firewall checks.                  | High   |
| 122 | Possible Slowloris DOS Attack                 | Slow partial HTTP header requests can exhaust server resources and cause DoS.                      | Medium |
| 123 | Link Injection                                | Injected link tags enable phishing, malicious redirects, and credential stuffing.                  | Medium |
| 124 | Iframe Injection                              | Injected iframes can load third-party content enabling phishing and backdoor downloads.            | Medium |
| 125 | XML External Entity DOS Attack                | XXE entity expansion causes heavy server load resulting in a DoS attack.                           | High   |
| 126 | XML External Entity (XXE) Injection           | XXE injection allows confidential data disclosure, SSRF, and DoS attacks.                          | High   |
| 127 | Oracle WebLogic URI Attack                    | URI path abuse in Oracle WebLogic enables RCE, XSS, and data exfiltration.                         | High   |
| 128 | Web Cache Poisoning Attack                    | Cache poisoning combined with injection attacks leads to XSS, cookie stealing, and session hijack. | High   |
| 129 | Microsoft Exchange Server RCE Vulnerability   | SSRF flaw in Exchange servers allows authentication bypass and arbitrary code execution.           | High   |
| 130 | Possible BREACH Vulnerability                 | HTTP-level compression flaw allows MITM attackers to extract CSRF tokens and sensitive data.       | Medium |
| 131 | HTTP.sys Remote Code Execution Vulnerability  | Flaw in HTTP.sys parsing allows remote attackers to crash or restart the server.                   | High   |

|     |                                                       |                                                                                                       |          |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 132 | GNU glibc Remote Heap Buffer Overflow (CVE-2015-0235) | GHOST vulnerability in glibc allows RCE and system compromise via vulnerable PHP.                     | Medium   |
| 133 | Partial user controllable script source               | User-controlled script src attributes enable XSS and Reverse Clickjacking attacks.                    | Medium   |
| 134 | Incorrect Session Timeout                             | Sessions not terminated after inactivity allow compromised tokens to be reused.                       | Medium   |
| 135 | JWT misconfiguration                                  | Improperly configured JWT tokens allow account takeover attacks.                                      | Medium   |
| 136 | Permissive Client Access Policy File Detected         | Misconfigured ClientAccessPolicy.xml grants unauthorized cross-domain data access.                    | Low      |
| 137 | Weak TLS CBC cipher Detected                          | CBC ciphers in TLS 1.0/1.1/1.2 are vulnerable to ZOMBIE POODLE and GOLDENDOODLE attacks.              | Medium   |
| 138 | Possible Archive File or Compression File - Log       | Compression or archive log files on the server may expose sensitive application data.                 | Medium   |
| 139 | LFI in Apache mod-cgi                                 | LFI in Apache 2.4.49 with mod-cgi enabled allows access to arbitrary sensitive files.                 | High     |
| 140 | Code Injection                                        | Invalidated user-controlled parameters interpreted by the application allow arbitrary code execution. | High     |
| 141 | Cross-Site Flashing (XSF)                             | Unvalidated user-controlled data in Flash functions enables XSS and cross-domain attacks.             | High     |
| 142 | Edge Side Include Injection                           | ESI tag injection leads to SSRF, XSS bypass of HTTPOnly cookies, and server-side DoS.                 | High     |
| 143 | Apache Log4j RCE Vulnerability                        | CVE-2021-44228 allows RCE via crafted input submitted to Log4j 2.0 through 2.14.1.                    | Critical |
| 144 | Apache Server ETag Header Information Disclosure      | ETag headers expose inode numbers, enabling information disclosure and cache poisoning.               | Medium   |
| 145 | Improper Session Management                           | Flaws in session management lead to account hijacking and authorization bypasses.                     | Medium   |

|     |                                                            |                                                                                             |          |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 146 | Insecure Direct Object References                          | Unverified object references allow users to access unauthorized resources.                  | High     |
| 147 | OS Command Injection - OOB                                 | Out-of-band OS command injection allows arbitrary command execution on the remote server.   | Critical |
| 148 | XML External Entity (XXE) Injection - OOB                  | Out-of-band XXE allows confidential data disclosure, SSRF, and DoS attacks.                 | High     |
| 149 | SQL Injection OOB                                          | Out-of-band SQL injection allows recovery and modification of database data.                | Critical |
| 150 | Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) - OOB                   | Out-of-band SSRF allows attackers to make server-side HTTP requests to arbitrary domains.   | Medium   |
| 151 | Cross Site Scripting (XSS) OOB                             | Out-of-band XSS allows injection of malicious scripts executed in victim browsers.          | High     |
| 152 | HTTP Host Header Injection OOB                             | Out-of-band Host header injection leads to Cache Poisoning, XSS, and routing-based SSRF.    | Medium   |
| 153 | Server-side template injection OOB                         | Out-of-band SSTI allows arbitrary code execution by injecting template directives.          | Critical |
| 154 | Spring Expression Resource Access Vulnerability (RCE)      | SpEL injection in Spring Cloud Function routing allows access to local resources and RCE.   | High     |
| 155 | Code Injection - OOB                                       | Out-of-band code injection allows loss of confidentiality, integrity, and availability.     | Critical |
| 156 | VMware Server-side Template Injection RCE (CVE-2022-22954) | SSTI in VMware Workspace ONE Access allows network-accessible RCE without authentication.   | Critical |
| 157 | Password found in server response                          | Clear-text password in response allows credential theft via session or XSS vulnerabilities. | Medium   |
| 158 | Credential found in token                                  | Authorization tokens containing credentials can lead to full account compromise.            | Medium   |
| 159 | Link Injection OOB                                         | Out-of-band link injection enables phishing, malicious redirects, and credential stuffing.  | Medium   |

|     |                                                         |                                                                                               |        |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 160 | Path-Relative StyleSheet Import Vulnerability           | Path-relative CSS links can cause XSS and CSRF token exfiltration.                            | Low    |
| 161 | Iframe Injection OOB                                    | Out-of-band iframe injection enables phishing, credential stuffing, and backdoor downloads.   | Medium |
| 162 | Improper Token Handling (duplicate)                     | Improper token handling allows authentication bypass and CSRF attacks.                        | Medium |
| 163 | Sensitive Information Disclosure Through URL            | Sensitive data in URLs can be stolen from browser history.                                    | Low    |
| 164 | Running Service (Open Port)                             | An open port may lead to data loss, DoS attacks, or exploitation of running services.         | Info   |
| 165 | Unset/Insecure X-Permitted-Cross-Domain-Policies Header | Misconfigured cross-domain policy header allows unauthorized cross-domain data access.        | Low    |
| 166 | Session Resumption Enabled                              | TLS session resumption can lead to session stealing and replay attacks.                       | Info   |
| 167 | DNSSEC unsigned                                         | Unsigned DNSSEC records may allow DNS spoofing and malicious activity.                        | Info   |
| 168 | Content Injection                                       | Content spoofing via injection presents users with modified pages under a trusted domain.     | Medium |
| 169 | JWT none algorithm                                      | JWT none algorithm flaw allows attackers to bypass signature verification and forge tokens.   | Medium |
| 170 | Weak Encoding                                           | Weak encoding allows attackers to decode and steal sensitive information.                     | Medium |
| 171 | Reveals Sensitive Information (Medium)                  | Sensitive information in requests/responses should be encoded with proper salting techniques. | Medium |
| 172 | Reveals Sensitive Information (Info)                    | Sensitive information in requests/responses may expose other vulnerabilities.                 | Info   |
| 173 | Accessible By IP Address                                | Servers accessible by IP address may be discovered by random scanning worms.                  | Low    |

|     |                                                                               |                                                                                                            |          |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 174 | No CAPTCHA on login page                                                      | Missing CAPTCHA enables automated brute force and credential stuffing attacks.                             | Info     |
| 175 | EPMM Authentication Bypass                                                    | Weak access controls allow unauthenticated attackers to gain admin privileges.                             | Critical |
| 176 | WebSocket URL poisoning                                                       | Unvalidated WebSocket URLs can cause XSS, information leakage, and unauthorized access.                    | Medium   |
| 177 | BruteForce Directory/File                                                     | Brute-forced directories and files may expose sensitive information for advanced attacks.                  | Medium   |
| 178 | Client-side Template Injection                                                | User-controlled data in client-side templates allows arbitrary JavaScript execution.                       | Critical |
| 179 | Insecure transition from HTTP to HTTPS in form post (HTTP serving HTTPS form) | HTTP pages serving HTTPS forms can be hijacked via MITM to replace or spoof the form.                      | Medium   |
| 180 | Insecure transition from HTTPS to HTTP in form post                           | HTTPS pages serving HTTP forms expose submitted data to interception.                                      | Low      |
| 181 | Insecure Transport                                                            | Applications accessible via HTTP without HTTPS redirect expose login credentials and sessions.             | Medium   |
| 182 | Cross-Site Tracing (XST)                                                      | XST combines XSS with HTTP TRACE to steal legitimate user credentials.                                     | Medium   |
| 183 | ExtJs Arbitrary File Read                                                     | Ext JS flaw allows reading arbitrary files and initiating internal HTTP service requests.                  | High     |
| 184 | Body Parameters Accepted in Query                                             | GET requests with sensitive parameters expose data in browser history and proxy logs.                      | Medium   |
| 185 | PHP CGI Argument Injection Vulnerability                                      | PHP CGI on Windows misinterprets Best-Fit characters as PHP options, enabling RCE and source disclosure.   | Critical |
| 186 | Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)                                             | CSRF forces authenticated users to execute unwanted actions on behalf of attackers.                        | Medium   |
| 187 | Unsafe third-party link (Medium)                                              | target='_blank' without rel='noopener' allows the linked page to access the original page's Window object. | Medium   |

|     |                                                      |                                                                                                      |          |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 188 | Path Traversal in Apache OFBiz (Critical)            | Path traversal before OFBiz 18.12.14 allows reading sensitive files and potential RCE.               | Critical |
| 189 | Unsafe third-party link (Low)                        | target='_blank' without rel='noopener noreferrer' allows cross-site scripting and phishing.          | Low      |
| 190 | Path Traversal in Apache OFBiz (duplicate)           | Path traversal before OFBiz 18.12.14 allows reading sensitive files and potential RCE.               | Critical |
| 191 | Unsafe third-party link (Low duplicate)              | target='_blank' without rel='noopener noreferrer' allows XSS and phishing attacks.                   | Low      |
| 192 | Database Connection String Detected                  | Exposed database connection strings containing credentials can lead to unauthorized database access. | High     |
| 193 | Web Server Content Sniffing Enabled (duplicate)      | MIME type misidentification allows attackers to execute scripts in another user's session context.   | Low      |
| 194 | Unset/Insecure X-XSS-Protection Header Vulnerability | Missing X-XSS-Protection header increases risk of XSS, data theft, and session hijacking.            | Medium   |
| 195 | Possible BREACH Vulnerability (Low)                  | HTTP compression in HTTPS responses enables inference of sensitive data such as session tokens.      | Low      |
| 196 | Python Code Injection                                | Unsanitized user input in Python code snippets allows server-side arbitrary code execution.          | High     |
| 197 | Client-side desync (CSD) attack                      | CSD attacks desynchronize the browser from the server, enabling XSS and malicious actions.           | High     |
| 198 | Serialized Object in HTTP Message                    | Serialized objects in HTTP messages can be manipulated by injecting malicious payloads.              | Medium   |
| 199 | LDAP Injection                                       | LDAP payload injection in request parameters exploits LDAP query processing vulnerabilities.         | Medium   |
| 200 | Unset/Insecure CSP Header Vulnerability              | Missing CSP header allows XSS, Clickjacking, and data injection attacks.                             | Low      |
| 201 | HTTPS And Mixed Content Vulnerability                | HTTP resources on HTTPS pages enable MITM attacks, security degradation, and browser warnings.       | Medium   |

|     |                                                                 |                                                                                         |        |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 202 | Serialized Object in HTTP Message (duplicate)                   | Serialized objects in HTTP messages can be manipulated by injecting malicious payloads. | Medium |
| 203 | Insecure transition from HTTP to HTTPS in form post (duplicate) | HTTP pages serving HTTPS forms can be hijacked via MITM to replace or spoof the form.   | Medium |
| 204 | Insecure transition from HTTPS to HTTP in form post (duplicate) | HTTPS pages serving HTTP forms expose submitted data to interception.                   | Low    |
| 205 | HTTP Host Header Injection OOB (duplicate)                      | Unvalidated Host header values lead to Cache Poisoning, XSS, and routing-based SSRF.    | Medium |
| 206 | HTTP.sys Remote Code Execution (duplicate)                      | HTTP.sys parsing flaw allows remote attackers to crash or restart the server.           | High   |
| 207 | HTTP Request Smuggling (duplicate)                              | Crafted HTTP messages bypass security controls and firewall checks.                     | High   |
| 208 | HTTP TRACK Method Enabled (duplicate)                           | HTTP TRACK method allows client cookie capture via Cross-Site Scripting.                | Low    |
| 209 | HTTP OPTIONS Method Enabled (duplicate)                         | OPTIONS method exposes a list of supported server methods.                              | Low    |
| 210 | HTTP Verb Tampering (duplicate)                                 | HTTP method manipulation allows authorization bypass.                                   | Low    |
| 211 | HTTP Host Header Injection (duplicate)                          | Unvalidated Host header values can lead to Cache Poisoning and XSS.                     | Medium |
| 212 | Missing HttpOnly Flag From Cookie (duplicate)                   | Missing HttpOnly flag allows malicious XSS code to steal cookie data.                   | Low    |
| 213 | HTTP Basic Authentication Enabled (duplicate)                   | HTTP Basic Authentication transmits credentials as cleartext.                           | Medium |
| 214 | HTTP PUT Method Enabled (duplicate)                             | HTTP PUT method allows remote upload of arbitrary files.                                | Low    |
| 215 | HTTP Response Splitting (duplicate)                             | CRLF injection via response splitting enables cookie forgery, CSRF theft, and XSS.      | High   |

|     |                                                                                        |                                                                                                          |          |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 216 | HTTP DELETE Method Enabled (duplicate)                                                 | HTTP DELETE method allows attackers to deface websites or mount DoS attacks.                             | Low      |
| 217 | HTTP TRACE Method Enabled (duplicate)                                                  | HTTP TRACE method allows client cookie capture via Cross-Site Scripting.                                 | Low      |
| 218 | Vulnerable Next.js CVE-2025-29927                                                      | Middleware authorization bypass via manipulated x-middleware-subrequest header in Next.js.               | Critical |
| 219 | Proxy Bypass via Trusting Unvalidated Host Headers                                     | Unvalidated Host headers allow proxy bypass, internal host disclosure, and SSRF.                         | High     |
| 220 | Detected Vulnerable JavaScript Library Version                                         | Outdated JavaScript libraries (e.g., JQuery, Lodash) contain known XSS or DoS vulnerabilities.           | Low      |
| 221 | Detected Vulnerable JavaScript Framework Version                                       | Outdated JS frameworks (e.g., AngularJS, React, Vue.js) expose applications to sandbox bypasses and XSS. | Low      |
| 222 | Server-Side Renegotiation Without RFC 5746                                             | TLS renegotiation without RFC 5746 support allows session data injection via MITM.                       | High     |
| 223 | Client-Side Renegotiation Without RFC 5746                                             | Client TLS renegotiation without RFC 5746 allows prefix injection attacks.                               | High     |
| 224 | Self-signed certificate                                                                | Self-signed certificates lack trusted CA verification, enabling MITM risks and user trust loss.          | High     |
| 225 | Insecure WebSocket Detector                                                            | Unencrypted ws:// WebSocket endpoints allow session hijacking and malicious payload injection.           | Low      |
| 226 | Server-Side TLS Renegotiation Supported                                                | Unlimited TLS renegotiation support may lead to resource exhaustion and DoS attacks.                     | Medium   |
| 227 | Client-Initiated TLS Renegotiation Supported                                           | Client-initiated TLS renegotiation increases DoS risk via repeated handshake requests.                   | Medium   |
| 228 | Host Header Injection via Unvalidated Host Leading to Redirect & Protocol Manipulation | Malicious Host header values allow protocol manipulation, phishing, and security control bypass.         | Critical |
| 229 | Accept Unauthenticated Request by Default                                              | Missing authentication checks allow unauthorized access to sensitive resources and functions.            | High     |

|     |                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |        |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 230 | Session Not Expired After Logout                          | Sessions remaining active after logout allow token reuse and session hijacking.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | High   |
| 231 | Sensitive Credentials Exposed in Headers                  | Credentials in HTTP headers can be logged by intermediaries, enabling account impersonation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | High   |
| 232 | No validation on third-party or internal API responses    | Unvalidated external API responses can inject malicious payloads into the application.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Medium |
| 233 | Unrestricted Access to Sensitive Business Flows           | No rate limiting or access controls on business operations enable financial loss and abuse.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | High   |
| 234 | Missing Rate Limiting on Sensitive Business Operations    | Unlimited requests on sensitive flows enable resource exhaustion and financial manipulation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | High   |
| 235 | Missing Business Logic Validation on Sensitive Operations | Missing validation on business logic flows enables manipulation of critical operations.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | High   |
| 236 | High-Frequency Trading/Scalping Vulnerability Detected    | The application is vulnerable to high-frequency trading or scalping attacks, where attackers can perform rapid successive operations to gain unfair advantages in trading, booking, or other time-sensitive business operations. This can lead to market manipulation, unfair competition, and financial losses.                                                                        | High   |
| 237 | Reveals Sensitive Information                             | The application response contains sensitive information such as keys, tokens, credentials, or internal identifiers. Exposure of such data can aid attackers in gaining unauthorized access, escalating privileges, or performing further reconnaissance. This indicates a lack of proper filtering or sanitization in API or application responses.                                     | High   |
| 238 | Reveals Sensitive Information                             | The application response contains sensitive information such as keys, tokens, credentials, or internal identifiers. Exposure of such data can aid attackers in gaining unauthorized access, escalating privileges, or performing further reconnaissance. This indicates a lack of proper filtering or sanitization in API or application responses.                                     | Medium |
| 239 | Exposure of API Version                                   | The target application exposes versioned API endpoints, legacy APIs, or development environments that may indicate poor API management practices. This can lead to information disclosure about API structure, potential access to deprecated/unsupported endpoints, and exposure of development/testing environments in production.                                                    | Low    |
| 240 | mTLS Authentication Not Enforced                          | The target server does not enforce mutual TLS (mTLS) authentication, allowing connections without client certificates. This creates a security gap where the server cannot verify the identity of connecting clients, potentially allowing unauthorized access to sensitive APIs or services.                                                                                           | High   |
| 241 | Weak Client Certificate Key Detected                      | The target server accepts connections with client certificates using weak cryptographic keys during mTLS authentication. Keys smaller than 2048 bits are considered weak and vulnerable to cryptographic attacks. This indicates that the server's certificate validation is not properly enforcing minimum key strength requirements, potentially allowing compromised authentication. | Medium |

|     |                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |        |
|-----|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 242 | Self-Signed Client Certificate Accepted  | The target server accepts connections with self-signed client certificates during mTLS authentication. This indicates that the server's certificate validation is not properly verifying certificate authority chains, allowing untrusted certificates to authenticate successfully. Self-signed certificates cannot be verified by trusted Certificate Authorities and may indicate weak certificate validation.                                                                                                                                                                                             | High   |
| 243 | Expired Client Certificate Accepted      | The target server accepts connections with expired client certificates during mTLS authentication. This indicates that the server's certificate validation is not properly checking certificate expiry dates, allowing potentially compromised or outdated certificates to authenticate successfully.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | High   |
| 244 | Weak Client Certificate Detection        | Client certificate uses weak cryptographic algorithms (MD5, SHA1, MD2, MD4) that are vulnerable to attacks. The certificate's signature or public key algorithms are cryptographically weak and can be exploited by attackers.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Medium |
| 245 | API Version Authentication Bypass        | <p>When older API versions lack authentication/authorization controls that are present in newer versions. Attackers can bypass security by targeting older, less secure API versions (e.g., /v1/, /v2/, /api/v1/, etc.) that don't enforce proper authentication mechanisms.</p> <p>Impact: Unauthorized access to sensitive data through older API versions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Bypass of security controls by targeting deprecated endpoints</li> <li>- Data leakage through unsecured legacy APIs</li> <li>- Privilege escalation via version-specific vulnerabilities</li> </ul> | High   |
| 246 | Open Redirect Injection Vulnerability    | <p>Detects open redirect vulnerabilities where user-controlled input in sensitive redirect parameters (redirect, redirect_to, url, next, return, continue, dest, target, callback, etc.) is reflected in HTTP responses without proper validation, potentially allowing attackers to redirect users to malicious external domains.</p> <p>Attackers can redirect users to malicious websites for phishing, credential theft, malware distribution, or bypassing security controls. Can be used in conjunction with other attacks like CSRF or social engineering.</p>                                         | Medium |
| 247 | Host Injection in HTML Attributes        | Detects host injection vulnerabilities where user-controlled input is reflected in HTML attributes within the response body, potentially allowing attackers to manipulate client-side behavior, perform cache poisoning, or bypass security controls through DOM manipulation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Medium |
| 248 | URI Path Injection Vulnerability         | Vulnerability occurs when user-supplied input is improperly handled in URI paths, allowing attackers to inject arbitrary content or perform path traversal attacks. The application reflects user-controlled data in URI paths without proper validation or sanitization.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | High   |
| 249 | Object Reference Injection Vulnerability | Object Reference Injection is a vulnerability that occurs when applications expose internal object references (such as database IDs, file handles, or resource identifiers) in URLs or parameters without proper authorization checks. Attackers can manipulate these references to access unauthorized resources or perform actions on objects they shouldn't have access to. This vulnerability is particularly dangerous in APIs and web applications that use predictable object identifiers.                                                                                                             | Medium |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |          |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 250                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) - URL Normalization Bypass                                | Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) vulnerability occurs when an application doesn't properly normalize or canonicalize user-supplied URLs, allowing attackers to bypass security filters using different representations of the same IP address. This enables attackers to make requests to internal systems, cloud metadata services, or other restricted resources.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | High     |
| 251                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | No Rate Limiting on API Endpoints                                                            | The API endpoint does not implement rate limiting mechanisms, allowing attackers to send unlimited requests in rapid succession. After multiple consecutive requests (default: 10 attempts), the system shows no protection against rapid successive operations, making it vulnerable to resource exhaustion attacks, DDoS, and abuse.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | High     |
| 252                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | No Pagination or Limits on Large Dataset APIs                                                | The API endpoint returns large datasets without implementing pagination or limits, allowing a single request to retrieve unbounded records (100+ items or 50KB+ responses). The plugin detects this by identifying large dataset APIs (list, search, query endpoints) and verifying absence of pagination indicators in both request parameters and response structure.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Medium   |
| 253                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Continuous OTP/Email/SMS Resend APIs Without Rate Limiting                                   | The API endpoint allows continuous resending of OTP (One-Time Password), email verification codes, or SMS messages without implementing rate limiting or throttling mechanisms. The plugin identifies resend APIs and tests for continuous resend capability by sending multiple consecutive requests (5+ attempts) and checking for absence of rate limiting controls.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Medium   |
| 254                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Adobe Commerce/Magento - Vulnerable Version Disclosure (CVE-2025-54236)                      | This vulnerability detection identifies Adobe Commerce and Magento Open Source installations that disclose their version information through HTTP headers or HTML content. The disclosed version indicates the application is running a vulnerable version (2.4.9-alpha2 or earlier) that is susceptible to CVE-2025-54236 (SessionReaper).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Critical |
| <p>CVE-2025-54236 is a critical vulnerability in Adobe Commerce and Magento Open Source that allows unauthenticated attackers to hijack customer sessions through improper input validation in the Commerce REST API's ServiceInputProcessor component.</p> |                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |          |
| 255                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Adobe Commerce/Magento - Improper Input Validation in ServiceInputProcessor (CVE-2025-54236) | This vulnerability detection actively probes Adobe Commerce and Magento Open Source REST API endpoints to confirm the presence of CVE-2025-54236 (SessionReaper) vulnerability. The plugin sends non-destructive serialized PHP markers to REST API endpoints and analyzes responses for ServiceInputProcessor error patterns that indicate the vulnerability is present. CVE-2025-54236 is a critical vulnerability in Adobe Commerce and Magento Open Source that allows unauthenticated attackers to hijack customer sessions through improper input validation in the Commerce REST API's ServiceInputProcessor component. The vulnerability exists in versions 2.4.9-alpha2 and earlier. | Critical |
| 256                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Excessive CPU/Memory Load on API Endpoints                                                   | The API endpoint performs CPU/memory intensive operations (such as image generation, PDF rendering, video transcoding, encryption, etc.) and responds slowly without apparent resource limits. This allows attackers to exhaust server resources through repeated requests, leading to denial of service conditions.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | High     |
| 257                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Missing Timeout Controls on API Endpoints                                                    | The API endpoint lacks timeout controls, allowing requests to run for extended periods (30+ seconds) without being terminated. This enables resource exhaustion attacks where attackers can send requests that consume server resources indefinitely or for very long duration.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | High     |

|     |                                                           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |        |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 258 | API Accepts Deeply Nested JSON/XML Structures             | <p>The API endpoint accepts and processes deeply nested JSON or XML structures (50+ levels of nesting) without limits. This can lead to parser exhaustion, stack overflow errors, and denial of service attacks. Deeply nested structures can cause:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Parser stack overflow</li> <li>- Excessive memory consumption</li> <li>- CPU exhaustion during parsing</li> <li>- Application crashes</li> <li>- Denial of service conditions</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                     | High   |
| 259 | LLM Leakes the system prompt or Instrutions               | <p>This vulnerability occurs when an AI system unintentionally exposes its system prompt. It can contain hidden instructions, rules, or roles that control the model's behaviour. If leaked, the attacker may gain access to internal logic, security bypass methods, or even sensitive information such as API keys, credentials, connection strings, or user-role rules mistakenly embedded in the prompt. These information can be used by attacker differently or for the prompt injection purpose to alter the behaviour of the LLM.</p>                                                                                  | High   |
| 260 | LLM Sensitive Data Exposure Check                         | <p>This vulnerability occurs when an AI system unintentionally exposes sensitive information such as API keys, authentication tokens, credentials, connection strings, or other secrets in its responses. This can happen when LLMs access backend systems, databases, or configuration files through function calls, tool usage, or when sensitive data is mistakenly embedded in training data or system prompts. Attackers can exploit prompt injection techniques to trick the LLM into revealing these secrets, which can then be used for unauthorized access, data breaches, or further exploitation of the system.</p> | High   |
| 261 | Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) via Unusual URI Scheme | <p>This vulnerability detects when an application is vulnerable to Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) attacks through the acceptance of unusual URI schemes. Many applications implement SSRF protection by only allowing &lt;http://&gt; and &lt;https://&gt; schemes, but some applications may accept other schemes like gopher://, &lt;ftp://,&gt; dict://, ldap://, ws://, or wss://, which can be used to bypass SSRF filters or access internal services.</p>                                                                                                                                                           | Medium |

|     |                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |          |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 262 | GCP Metadata Service Accessible via SSRF                                     | This vulnerability detects when an application is vulnerable to Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) attacks that allow access to the Google Cloud Platform (GCP) Compute Engine Instance Metadata Service. The GCP metadata service is accessible at metadata.google.internal or 169.254.169.254 and provides sensitive information about the compute instance, including project details, service account tokens, and instance configuration. | Critical |
| 263 | Azure Metadata Service Accessible via SSRF                                   | This vulnerability detects when an application is vulnerable to Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) attacks that allow access to the Microsoft Azure Instance Metadata Service (IMDS). The Azure metadata service is accessible at the link-local IP address 169.254.169.254 and provides sensitive information about the Azure VM, including managed identity tokens, subscription details, and VM configuration.                             | Critical |
| 264 | Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) via HTTP Header Injection                 | This vulnerability detects Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) attacks that occur when HTTP headers are manipulated to force the server to make requests to internal systems or cloud metadata services. Unlike URL parameter-based SSRF, this attack vector exploits header injection vulnerabilities.                                                                                                                                        | High     |
| 265 | Open Redirection Chained with SSRF                                           | This vulnerability detects when an application has an open redirect vulnerability that can be chained with Server-Side Request Forgery (SSRF) attacks to bypass SSRF protections and access internal systems. This is a critical vulnerability because open redirects can be used as a bypass mechanism to circumvent SSRF protections that validate URLs before making requests.                                                             | Critical |
| 266 | React2Shell (CVE-2025-55182) - Active Detection: RCE Vulnerability Confirmed | CVE-2025-55182, also known as "React2Shell," is a critical remote code execution (RCE) vulnerability in React Server Components (RSC) that allows unauthenticated attackers to execute arbitrary code on affected servers. This active detection method confirms the vulnerability by sending specially crafted payloads and analyzing responses for exploitation indicators.                                                                 | Critical |

|     |                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |          |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 267 | React2Shell (CVE-2025-55182) - Passive Detection: Vulnerable Version Disclosure    | CVE-2025-55182, also known as "React2Shell," is a critical remote code execution (RCE) vulnerability in React Server Components (RSC) that allows unauthenticated attackers to execute arbitrary code on affected servers. This passive detection method identifies vulnerable applications by detecting version disclosure in HTTP headers and HTML responses without sending any attack payloads.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Critical |
| 268 | Apache Tika XXE (CVE-2025-66516) - Active Detection: XXE Vulnerability Confirmed   | CVE-2025-66516 is a critical XML External Entity (XXE) injection vulnerability in Apache Tika that allows attackers to read local files, trigger out-of-band requests, and cause Denial of Service (DoS) attacks through crafted XFA (XML Forms Architecture) files embedded in PDF documents. This active detection method confirms the vulnerability by uploading malicious PDF files and analyzing responses for exploitation indicators.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | Critical |
| 269 | Apache Tika XXE (CVE-2025-66516) - Passive Detection: Vulnerable Version Disclosed | CVE-2025-66516 is a critical XML External Entity (XXE) injection vulnerability in Apache Tika that affects versions 1.13 through 3.2.1. This passive detection method identifies vulnerable versions by analyzing version information disclosed in HTTP responses, without performing any exploitation attempts.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | High     |
| 270 | Stack Trace Exposure in HTTP Response                                              | <p>When an application encounters an error or exception, it may return detailed stack trace information in the HTTP response body. This information is intended for developers during debugging but should be suppressed in production environments. Attackers can leverage exposed stack traces to:</p> <p>Understand Application Architecture: Stack traces reveal class names, package structures, and method hierarchies</p> <p>Identify Vulnerable Components: File paths and line numbers can indicate outdated libraries or vulnerable code sections</p> <p>Map Internal Structure: Directory structures and file naming conventions are exposed</p> <p>Plan Targeted Attacks: Knowledge of framework versions and internal logic aids in crafting specific exploits</p> | Medium   |

|     |                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |          |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 271 | React2Shell (CVE-2025-55182) - OOB                 | CVE-2025-55182, also known as "React2Shell," is a critical remote code execution (RCE) vulnerability in React Server Components (RSC) that allows unauthenticated attackers to execute arbitrary code on affected servers. This active detection method confirms the vulnerability by sending specially crafted payloads and analyzing responses for exploitation indicators.                                                             | Critical |
| 272 | RSC - Passive Detection: DOS Vulnerability         | CVE-2025-55184, CVE-2025-67779 and CVE-2026-23864 are high-severity denial of service (DoS) vulnerabilities in React Server Components (RSC) that allow malicious HTTP requests to cause infinite loops, hanging the server process and consuming CPU resources. This passive detection method identifies vulnerable applications by detecting version disclosure in HTTP headers and HTML responses without sending any attack payloads. | High     |
| 273 | RSC Source Code Exposure (CVE-2025-55183)          | CVE-2025-55183 is a medium-severity source code exposure vulnerability in React Server Components (RSC) that allows malicious HTTP requests to unsafely return the source code of Server Functions, potentially exposing secrets hardcoded in source code. This passive detection method identifies vulnerable applications by detecting version disclosure in HTTP headers and HTML responses without sending any attack payloads.       | Medium   |
| 274 | Predictable UUIDs or tokens                        | This vulnerability detects predictable UUIDs or token-style identifiers returned by API endpoints. If an application uses low-entropy, patterned, or otherwise predictable UUIDs/tokens as object references, attackers can guess/enumerate valid identifiers and access objects they should not be authorized to access (BOLA/IDOR-style impact).                                                                                        | Medium   |
| 275 | Missing Authorization for UI-Exposed Sensitive API | This vulnerability detects sensitive APIs that are exposed to the UI (frontend) and return sensitive data without any authorization headers. When UI-facing APIs serving user data, admin operations, or financial information are callable directly from the browser without tokens, cookies, or API keys, attackers can invoke them from their own browser or scripts to access data or perform actions theyâ€™re not entitled to.      | High     |

|     |                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |        |
|-----|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 276 | Session ID in Persistent Cookies   | <p>Session ID cookies are set as persistent cookies (with Expires or Max-Age attributes). Persistent cookies are stored on disk and survive browser restarts increasing the risk of session hijacking.</p> <p>Impact: Persistent session cookies can be stolen from disk storage and reused by attackers even after the browser is closed. This extends the attack window for session hijacking attacks and increases the risk of unauthorized access.</p> | Medium |
| 277 | Type Confusion Vulnerability       | This vulnerability detects when an API accepts incorrect data types, leading to type confusion errors, logic flaws, or unexpected behavior. Type confusion occurs when an API expects one data type (e.g., integer) but accepts another (e.g., string), potentially causing application crashes, logic errors, or security vulnerabilities.                                                                                                                | Medium |
| 278 | Invalid/Missing Keys Vulnerability | This vulnerability detects when an API fails to properly handle invalid or missing keys in request payloads. APIs that don't validate required fields or reject invalid key names can lead to application errors, logic flaws, or security vulnerabilities. This includes missing required fields, invalid key names with special characters, and duplicate key handling issues.                                                                           | Medium |
| 279 | Enum Validation Vulnerability      | This vulnerability detects when an API fails to validate enumerated fields (status, type, state, etc.), allowing invalid enum values to be accepted. APIs that don't properly validate enum-like fields can lead to logic flaws, unexpected behavior, or security vulnerabilities. This includes accepting invalid enum values, no validation of enum-like fields, and logic flaws from invalid enum acceptance.                                           | Medium |
| 280 | Webhook Validation Vulnerability   | This vulnerability detects when webhook endpoints accept requests without proper signature validation (e.g., missing HMAC). Webhooks are HTTP callbacks that allow external services to notify an application of events. Without proper signature validation, attackers can forge webhook requests, potentially triggering unauthorized actions, data manipulation, or service abuse.                                                                      | High   |

|     |                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |     |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| 281 | Long Redirection Response       | <p>This vulnerability detects when HTTP redirect responses (3xx status codes) contain unusually long response bodies. Normal redirect responses should be minimal (typically less than 500 bytes) as they only need to provide the Location header. Long redirect responses can indicate:</p> <p>Information leakage in redirect responses</p> <p>Potential DoS vectors through large redirect payloads</p> <p>Improper redirect handling that exposes sensitive data</p> <p>Security misconfigurations in redirect mechanisms</p>                                         | Low |
| 282 | Content Type Incorrectly Stated | <p>This vulnerability detects when the Content-Type header doesn't match the actual response content. Mismatched content types can lead to:</p> <p>MIME type confusion attacks where browsers interpret content differently than intended</p> <p>XSS vulnerabilities when HTML/JavaScript is served with incorrect content types</p> <p>Content sniffing issues where browsers override declared content types</p> <p>Security bypasses through incorrect MIME type handling</p>                                                                                           | Low |
| 283 | Token Leakage via Referer       | <p>The HTTP Referer header is sent automatically by the browser when the user follows a link or when a page loads cross-origin resources (e.g. scripts, images, analytics). If the referring URL contained a sensitive token (in query string or path), the browser sends that full URL—including the token—to the destination server. When the destination is a third party (e.g. analytics, ads, or an external site), the token is disclosed to an unauthorized actor. That is information disclosure (CWE-200) and misuse of GET/URL for sensitive data (CWE-598).</p> | Low |

|     |                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |        |
|-----|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 284 | Self-Redirection                      | <p>This vulnerability detects when an application redirects to itself (same URL), which can indicate**: - Infinite redirect loops that can cause DoS</p> <p>1. Logic errors in redirect handling</p> <p>2. Potential for redirect-based attacks</p> <p>3. Security misconfigurations in redirect mechanisms</p>                                                                                                                  | Low    |
| 285 | Options Bleed Apache (CVE-2017-15710) | <p>This vulnerability detects the Apache Options Bleed vulnerability (CVE-2017-15710) which allows information disclosure through the HTTP OPTIONS method response. The vulnerability occurs when Apache servers leak sensitive information in OPTIONS method responses, including**: - File system paths</p> <p>Server configuration details</p> <p>Internal application structure</p> <p>Sensitive data in response bodies</p> | Low    |
| 286 | Web Service Disclosed                 | <p>This vulnerability detects when web services (WSDL, SOAP, REST API documentation) are exposed without proper access controls, revealing**: - API endpoints and methods</p> <p>Request/response schemas</p> <p>Service implementation details</p> <p>Internal API structure</p> <p>Authentication mechanisms</p>                                                                                                               | Low    |
| 287 | Deprecated API Behavior Inconsistency | <p>This vulnerability detects when deprecated APIs respond differently than documented ones. Deprecated API versions may have different behavior, error handling, or response formats compared to current versions, which can lead to security issues, unexpected behavior, or confusion for API consumers.</p>                                                                                                                  | Medium |

|     |                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |          |
|-----|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| 288 | Authorization Before Parsing or Validation | This vulnerability detects when APIs check authentication but process invalid or malformed input. This indicates that authorization happens before input parsing/validation, which is a security vulnerability. Malformed input should be rejected before authentication checks to prevent resource consumption and potential exploitation.                                                                                             | High     |
| 289 | Serialized Object in HTTP Message OOB      | This vulnerability detects insecure deserialization by sending crafted serialized objects (e.g., Apache Shiro rememberMe cookie) that trigger out-of-band requests to a collaborator server upon deserialization. This is particularly effective for detecting blind deserialization vulnerabilities where the application deserializes untrusted data without proper validation, such as CVE-2016-4437 (Apache Shiro deserialization). | Critical |
| 290 | Insecure Direct Object References (IDOR)   | Insecure Direct Object References (IDOR) occur when an application provides direct access to objects based on user-supplied input without proper authorization checks. Attackers can manipulate object identifiers (user IDs, resource IDs, etc.) to access unauthorized resources, leading to data exposure, privilege escalation, and unauthorized data modification.                                                                 | High     |
| 291 | Deprecated or Beta APIs Still Exposed      | This vulnerability detects when deprecated or beta API versions are still exposed and accessible. Deprecated or beta APIs should be removed or restricted, as they may contain known vulnerabilities or lack security patches present in current versions. When these APIs remain accessible, attackers can exploit unpatched vulnerabilities or use outdated endpoints with weaker security controls.                                  | Medium   |
| 292 | Missing Custom Error Page (CWE-756)        | This vulnerability detects when 404, 500, or 403 error pages expose server version information, technology stack details, or other sensitive information instead of using custom error pages. Default error pages often reveal technology stack, server versions, and internal paths that should be hidden from attackers.                                                                                                              | Medium   |

|     |                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |        |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 293 | Default Error Page from Web Server or Framework | This vulnerability detects when default error pages from web servers (Nginx, Apache, Tomcat, etc.) or frameworks (Django, Flask, Rails, Laravel, etc.) are returned instead of custom error pages. Default error pages often reveal server software, versions, and configuration details that should be hidden from attackers.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Medium |
| 294 | Body Parameters Accepted in Query               | When an application accepts POST requests with body parameters but also processes the same parameters when sent as GET (in the query string), it is said to accept “body parameters in query” or to allow an insecure transition from POST to GET. The server is effectively treating GET and POST the same for that endpoint, which violates the usual expectation that sensitive or state-changing data is sent in the request body and not in the URL. This behavior leads to: CSRF via GET “ A single link or image load can trigger the action; no form POST or JavaScript required. Caching and logging of sensitive parameters “ Proxies, CDNs, and servers often log or cache URLs; parameters in the query string are exposed. Referrer leakage “ When the user follows a link to another site, the full URL (including query parameters) can be sent in the Referer header. Exposure in browser history and bookmarks “ Sensitive tokens or identifiers end up in history and shared links. | High   |
| 295 | Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)               | <p>Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF) allows an attacker to trick a victim’s browser into sending unwanted requests to a target site using the victim’s session. Because the browser automatically sends cookies and other credentials with same-site requests, the server cannot distinguish a legitimate user action from a request triggered by a malicious page”unless it validates Origin/Referer or requires a CSRF token.</p> <p>The POC describes how the scanner detects CSRF using DAST logic: re-sending the same request with the crawler’s session (cookies/auth headers) but with Referer and Origin set to a malicious origin. If the server returns the same success response, it is not validating origin and is vulnerable to CSRF.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | High   |

|     |                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |      |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 296 | Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)                   | <p>Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF) allows an attacker to trick a victim's browser into sending unwanted requests to a target site using the victim's session. Because the browser automatically sends cookies and other credentials with same-site requests, the server cannot distinguish a legitimate user action from a request triggered by a malicious page unless it validates Origin/Referer or requires a CSRF token.</p> <p>The POC describes how the scanner detects CSRF using DAST logic: re-sending the same request with the crawler's session (cookies/auth headers) but with Referer and Origin set to a malicious origin. If the server returns the same success response, it is not validating origin and is vulnerable to CSRF.</p> | Low  |
| 297 | Flash Cross-Domain Policy Found                     | <p>A Flash cross-domain policy (also called Adobe cross-domain policy) is an XML file, usually named crossdomain.xml and placed at the site root or other well-known path. It was introduced by Macromedia/Adobe for Flash Player and defines which domains are allowed to:</p> <p>Load SWF content from the application.</p> <p>Make cross-domain HTTP requests to the application (e.g. from Flash or legacy clients).</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Low  |
| 298 | Server-Side Code Injection DoS (ReDoS / eval-based) | <p>Server-Side Code Injection DoS is a vulnerability where the application executes user-supplied input as server-side code. Typically, a request parameter (often in a JSON body) is passed into a code-execution context such as eval, Function, or a JavaScript sandbox. Because the input is interpreted as code, an attacker can supply input that causes the server to consume excessive CPU (e.g. ReDoS), throw an unhandled exception, or hang resulting in Denial of Service.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | High |
| 299 | Missing DNS CAA Record (RFC 8659)                   | <p>DNS Certification Authority Authorization (CAA) is a DNS record type defined in RFC 8659 that lets a domain owner specify which Certificate Authorities (CAs) are permitted to issue TLS certificates for that name. When CAA is absent or when records exist but do not include an issue or issuewild tag any publicly-trusted CA may issue certificates for the domain. That widens the trust surface for certificate mis-issuance, rogue-CA abuse, and BGP/DNS hijack-driven domain-validation attacks.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Low  |

|     |                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |        |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 300 | Lack of Per-IP Rate Limiting on API                  | Authentication endpoints (login, OTP, password reset, token refresh) and abuse-prone APIs are common targets for credential stuffing and automated abuse. Rate limiting keyed only to username or API key can be circumvented by changing the perceived client IP on each request.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Medium |
|     |                                                      | Behind reverse proxies, applications often read X-Forwarded-For or similar headers. If throttling uses those values without validating the proxy chain, an attacker can send many requests that appear to originate from different IPs.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |        |
| 301 | Lack of Per-Device / User-Agent Rate Limiting on API | The alert Lack of Per-Device / User-Agent Rate Limiting on API is reported when an API endpoint accepts repeated successful traffic while the User-Agent changes on every request and no rate-limit response is observed. Per-device / User-Agent rate limiting is the expected control: the application tracks how many requests a given client fingerprint (typically derived from User-Agent and optional device headers) may perform in a time window, and blocks or slows further calls from that fingerprint. Lack of that control means rotating the UA is enough to evade the throttle bucket. | Medium |
| 302 | Privilege Escalation via User-Submitted Body Flags   | The alert Privilege Escalation via User-Submitted Body Flags (CWE-807) is reported when elevating a privilege-related field already in the request body produces a 2xx response that materially differs from the original and introduces new privilege-related content. Expected control: roles and entitlements are assigned server-side from identity stores or admin workflows; clients may send display fields but must not control authorization. Failure: the API honors tampered role, isAdmin, isVIP, or similar keys in the request body.                                                     | High   |
| 303 | Privilege Escalation via Trusted Client Headers      | The alert Privilege Escalation via Trusted Client Headers (CWE-807) is reported when injecting an elevated custom header produces a 2xx response that materially differs from the baseline and introduces new privilege-related content. Expected control: identity and tenant context come from validated tokens or server-side session state; internal headers are set only by a trusted edge and removed before user-facing routes. Failure: the API treats                                                                                                                                         | High   |

---

client-supplied X-Role, X-User-Type, X-Org-Id, or similar headers as truth.

|     |                                                    |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |      |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 304 | Negative or Zero Pricing Accepted on Purchase Flow | The alert Negative or Zero Pricing Accepted on Purchase Flow (CWE-840) is reported when tampering a positive pricing field in the request body to a negative, zero, or micro-cent value still yields a 2xx response with transaction-success markers and without validation-rejection or decline text. Expected control: the server ignores client price fields for authorization of charge amount and derives totals from trusted catalog/cart state. Failure: the API persists or processes the tampered amount as the order total. | High |
| 305 | Duplicate Discount / Coupon Redemption Allowed     | The alert Duplicate Discount / Coupon Redemption Allowed (CWE-840) is reported when the scanner sends the same checkout body three times and each response is 2xx with transaction-success markers, with no duplicate-rejection phrasing on any replay. Expected control: each code is redeemed once per policy (globally or per account), enforced in the database or promotion service before order finalization. Failure: the API completes multiple orders with the same coupon field unchanged.                                  | High |